NOV 5 2001

STATE OF ARIZONA

DEPT. OF INSURANCE BY

DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

In the Matter of:

Docket No. 01A-253-INS

SCOTTSDALE INSURANCE COMPANY,

Docket No. 97A-078, dated May 15, 1997.

CONSENT ORDER

NAIC #41297

Respondent

8

9

10

11

12

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

Examiners for the Department of Insurance (the "Department") conducted a market conduct examination of Scottsdale Insurance Company ("SIC"). The Report of Examination of the Market Conduct Affairs of SIC alleges that SIC has violated A.R.S. §§ 20-385, 20-400.01, 20-461, 20-466.03, A.A.C. R20-6-801 and Consent Order,

13

14

15

SIC wishes to resolve this matter without formal proceedings, neither admits nor denies the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and consents to the entry of the following Order.

17

18

16

FINDINGS OF FACT

19

2.

1. SIC is authorized to transact property and casualty insurance pursuant to a Certificate of Authority issued by the Director.

20

conduct examination of SIC. The on-site examination covered claims and

The Examiners were authorized by the Director to conduct a market

22

underwriting actions for the time period from January 1, 1999 through December 31,

23

2000, and was concluded on March 29, 2001. Based on their findings, the Examiners

24

prepared the "Report of Examination of the Market Conduct Affairs of Scottsdale

25

Insurance Company" dated March 29, 2001.

23

24

25

3. Following a market conduct examination of SIC as of May 24, 1994, the Director entered a Consent Order, Docket No. 97A-078, which was filed on May 15, 1997 (the "1997 Order"). In pertinent part, the 1997 Order stated as follows:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 1. Scottsdale shall not:
 - a. knowingly violate orders of the Director;
 - b. fail to document the facts in support of adjustments to full manual premiums;
 - c. issue policies at premiums other than those determined upon the basis of its filed rates and rules;
- 2. Within ninety (90) days of this Order's filed date, Scottsdale shall submit to the Director written action plans to monitor Arizona issued policies to ensure the following:
 - a. that personnel use only rates, rating plans and rating rules which have been filed with the ADOI by Respondents or on their behalf;
 - b. that policy worksheets are prepared and retained in policy files...
 - that personnel document facts to support any adjustment to full manual premiums;
- 4. The Examiners reviewed 106 of 8,874 personal lines policies issued or renewed during the time frame of the Examination and found as follows:
 - a. SIC failed to apply a 10% renewal credit on six policies.
- b. SIC failed to apply the correct protection classes or pro-rate factors to five policies.
- 5. The Examiners reviewed 69 of 47,156 commercial lines policies issued or renewed during the time frame of the Examination and found as follows:
- a. SIC failed to consider 10 risks that were eligible for experience and schedule rating.
- b. SIC failed to use a schedule credit/debit rating worksheet that complied with the filed schedule credit/debit program on 25 commercial automobile policies.

- c. SIC applied an unfiled experience rating plan on 2 commercial automobile policies.
- d. SIC failed to apply the correct loss cost multiplier factors to 25 commercial automobile policies.
- 6. The Examiners reviewed 58 of 290 closed without payment claims, 52 of 1,962 closed with payment claims, 22 of 22 first party and 24 of 24 third party automobile total loss settlements incurred during the time frame of the Examination and found as follows:
- a. SIC failed to use the correct company name on all claim forms and correspondence sent to its insureds and claimants.
- b. SIC failed to include all applicable sales taxes and license fees in the settlement of 14 first party automobile total losses.
- c. SIC failed to include all applicable sales taxes and license fees in the settlement of seven third party automobile total losses. SIC paid the appropriate sales taxes and license fees on the remaining 17 third party automobile total loss claims.
- d. SIC failed to include the Arizona Fraud warning on 57 claims forms.
- 7. SIC's failure to apply the correct renewal credit, failure to apply the correct pro-rate factor and application of an unfiled experience rate plan resulted in 11 insureds being overcharged a total of \$9,983. SIC's failure to pay all taxes and fees on first and third party automobile total loss settlements resulted in 21 claimants and insureds being underpaid a total of \$5,878.93. All overcharges and underpayments have been refunded or paid to the insureds and claimants.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- SIC violated A.R.S. §20-385(A) and the 1997 Order by failing to apply its
 renewal credit, failing to apply correct protection classes and pro-rate factors in the premium determination of personal lines policies.
- 2. SIC violated A.R.S. §§20-385(A), 20-400.01(A) and the 1997 Order by failing to consider all eligible risks for experience and schedule rating, failing to use its filed schedule credit/debit rating worksheet, applying an unfiled experience rating plan and failing to apply the correct loss cost multiplier factors in the premium determination of commercial policies.
- 3. SIC has violated A.R.S. §20-461(A)(1) and A.A.C. R20-6-801(C) by failing to assure that the correct company name is identified on all claim forms and correspondence sent to its insureds and claimants.
- 4. SIC violated A.R.S. §20-461(A)(6) and A.A.C. R20-6-801(H)(1)(b) by failing to include all sales taxes and license fees in the settlement of first party automobile total loss claims.
- 5. SIC violated A.R.S. §20-461(A)(6) by failing to treat all third party automobile total loss claimants in a prompt, fair and equitable manner by not including all sales taxes and license fees in the settlement of some third party automobile total loss claims when SIC paid the appropriate amount of sales taxes and license fees to other third party automobile total loss claimants.
- 6. SIC violated A.R.S. §20-466.03 by failing to include the Arizona Fraud warning on its claims forms.
- 7. Grounds exist for the entry of the following Order, in accordance with A.R.S. §§20-220 and 20-456.

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- SIC shall cease and desist from:
- a. Failing to comply with filed rates and rules in the issuance of new and renewal personal lines policies.
- b. Failing to comply with filed rates and rules in the issuance of new and renewal commercial policies.
- c. Failing to use schedule rating worksheets, in the premium determination of its commercial automobile policies, which do not comply with the filed schedule credit/debit program
- d. Failing to file its commercial automobile experience rating plan with the Department.
- e. Failing to identify the correct company name on all claim forms and correspondence sent to its insureds and claimants.
- g. Failing to include applicable sales taxes and license fees, in the settlement of first party automobile total loss claims.
- h. Failing to include all sales taxes and license fees in the settlement of automobile total loss claims when other third party automobile total loss claims are appropriately paid.
 - i. Failing to include the Arizona Fraud warning on claims notices.
- 2. Within 90 days of the filed date of this Order, SIC shall submit to the Department, for approval, evidence that corrections have been implemented and communicated to the appropriate personnel regarding all of the items mentioned in Paragraph 1 of the Order section of this Consent Order. Evidence of corrective

action and communication thereof includes, but is not limited to memos, bulletins, E-mails, correspondence, procedure manuals, print screens and training materials.

- 3. Within 120 days of the filed date of this Order, SIC shall conduct a self-audit of its personal lines homeowner policies (1999 through 2000) to determine how many renewal policies were overcharged by the Company's failure to apply its 10% renewal credit.
- 4. Within 120 days of the filed date of this Order, SIC shall conduct a self-audit of its personal lines dwelling fire policies (1999 through 2000) to determine how many were affected by the application of an incorrect protection class.
- 5. All insureds who were subject to overcharges identified following the self-audits mentioned in Paragraphs 3 and 4 above shall be refunded all such overcharges, plus interest at the rate of ten percent (10%) per annum calculated from the date paid by the insured to the date of repayment to the insured. All reimbursements shall be accompanied by a letter acceptable to the Director, indicating why the refund is being sent. A list of reimbursements, giving the name and address of each policyholder reimbursed, the amount of the overcharge, the amount of interest paid, and the date of payment shall be provided to the Department within 120 days of the filed date of this Order.
- The Department shall be permitted, through authorized representatives, to verify that SIC has complied with all provisions of this Order.
- 7. SIC shall pay a civil penalty of \$10,000 to the Director for deposit in the State General Fund in accordance with A.R.S. §§20-220(B) and 20-456. This civil penalty shall be provided to the Market Conduct Examinations Section of the Department prior to the filing of this Order.

8. The Report of Examination of the Market Conduct Affairs of SIC dated March 29, 2001, including the letter submitted in response to the Report of Examination, shall be filed with the Department after the Director has filed this Order.

DATED at Phoenix, Arizona this ______ day of _______, 2001.

Charles R. Cohen Director of Insurance

2

3 4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CONSENT TO ORDER

- 1. Scottsdale Insurance Company has reviewed the attached Consent Order.
- 2. Scottsdale Insurance Company admits the jurisdiction of the Director of Insurance, State of Arizona, neither admits nor denies the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and consents to the entry of the Order.
- 3. Scottsdale Insurance Company is aware of its right to a hearing, at which it may be represented by counsel, present evidence and cross-examine witnesses. Scottsdale Insurance Company irrevocably waives its right to such notice and hearing and to any court appeals related to this Order.
- 4. Scottsdale Insurance Company states that no promise of any kind or nature whatsoever was made to it to induce it to enter into this Order and that it has entered into this Order voluntarily.
- 5. Scottsdale Insurance Company acknowledges that the acceptance of this Order by the Director of Insurance, State of Arizona, is solely to settle this matter against it and does not preclude any other agency or officer of this state or its subdivisions or any other person from any other civil or criminal proceedings, whether civil, criminal, or administrative, as may be appropriate now or in the future.

6	R. Max Will	iamson	, who	holds	the	office	0
President		of Scottsdale In	surance C	ompany	, is au	uthorized	d to
nter into this	Order for it and	on its behalf					

SCOTTSDALE INSURANCE COMPANY

Date By: R. Max Williamson, President

1	COPY of the foregoing mailed/delivered
2	This 5th day of November 2001, to:
3	Sara Begley
4	Deputy Director Mary Butterfield
5	Assistant Director
6	Consumer Affairs Division Paul J. Hogan
7	Chief Market Conduct Examiner Market Conduct Examinations Section
8	Deloris E. Williamson Assistant Director
9	Rates & Regulations Division Steve Ferguson
10	Assistant Director Financial Affairs Division
11	Nancy Howse Chief Financial Examiner
12	Alexandra Shafer
13	Assistant Director Life & Health Division
14	Terry Cooper Fraud Unit Chief
15	
16	DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE 2910 North 44th Street, Second Floor
17	Phoenix, AZ 85018
18	R. Max Williamson, President
19	SCOTTSDALE INSURANCE COMPANY
20	8877 North Gainey Center Drive Scottsdale, AZ 85258
21	
22	Usan Burton
23	wey with
24	